

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 1-26, 28, 29, 31-65, and 67-69 were pending in this application. Claims 1-26 and 48-65 have been canceled. Claims 28, 29, 31-47, and 67-69 are pending.

Applicant appreciates the indication of allowance of claims 28, 29, 31-42, 45-47, and 67-69.

Claims 43-44 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Cone (USP 6,543,846). Although the Office Action states that these claims are rejected over Anthony et al. (USP 5,695,243), the Examiner confirmed in a telephone conference with Applicant's representative that the claims stand rejected over Cone. Applicant traverses this rejection for at least the following reason.

Claim 43 defines a child vehicle seat that includes "a connection member that is a unitary part of the seat body," wherein "the seat body and the connection member comprise a single piece of material." Consequently, the connection member may be molded into the seat body (see, for example, FIG. 13 of the application).

By comparison, Cone discloses a child car seat 10 and a storage receptacle 50 that includes an anchor point 516. Cone's car seat 10 and storage receptacle 50, however, do not comprise a single piece of material; rather, Cone's car seat 10 and storage receptacle 50 comprise two pieces of material. Cone's storage receptacle 50 is permanently attached to the child car seat 10 (see column 7, lines 7-9), for example, by a rivet as shown in FIG. 7.

Thus, Cone does not teach or suggest a child car seat wherein "the seat body and the connection member comprise a single piece of material." For at least this reason, Applicant submits that claim 43 and its dependent claim 44 are not anticipated by Cone under 35 USC 102(b).

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date September 23, 2005

By Mary Michelle Kile

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Washington Harbour
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20007-5143
Telephone: (202) 672-5428
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Mary Michelle Kile
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 35,217